UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/593,671	09/20/2006	Daniel G. Fry	7207-70815-05	2804
	7590 04/27/200 SPARKMAN, LLP	9	EXAMINER	
121 SW SALM			MOORE, WALTER A	
SUITE 1600 PORTLAND, OR 97204			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1794	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/27/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comments	10/593,671	FRY ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	WALTER MOORE	1794				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ac	ldress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	-· action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar		secution as to the	a morite ie			
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>E</i>						
closed in accordance with the practice under L	x parte quayre, 1955 C.D. 11, 40	.J. O.G. 215.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13,18-22 and 40-46</u> is/are pending i	n the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav	vn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) <u>1-13,18-22 and 40-46</u> are subject to re	estriction and/or election requiren	nent.				
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	-					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 25 LLS C & 110(a)	(d) or (f)				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 0.5.6. § 119(a)	-(a) or (i).				
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		on No				
	• •	<u> </u>	Stage			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Gee the attached detailed Office action for a list of	or the certified copies not receive	u.				
Attachment(s)	ΔΠ	(DTO 440)				
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) ∐ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal P					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)					

Application/Control Number: 10/593,671 Page 2

Art Unit: 1794

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

- 2. This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.
- 3. In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claims 1-13, 18-22, and 40-41, drawn to a release-paper backing.

Group II, claims 42-46, drawn to a method for making a release-paper backing.

- 4. The inventions listed as Groups I and II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reason:
- 5. Evidence of lack of unity between the groups is found in Small et al., USPN 6,319,360, wherein it is found to disclose the features of instant claim 42. As such, the special technical features of the claimed invention are not found to define a contribution over the prior art.
- 6. Small teaches a method for making a release paper or release-paper backing (two-color mottle effect patterned paper, Abstract), comprising: forming a mixture comprising a paper stock and a dye (colouring papermaking stock, Col. 2, In. 14-15)

selected to increase the light transmission of the release paper or release-paper backing at a wavelength range generated by a red LED, a green LED, a blue LED, a white LED or a combination thereof; forming the mixture into a sheet (dyed wet paper web, Col. 2, In. 17-18); applying a coating to a major surface of the sheet (second dye formulation, Col. 2, In. 19); and hot-soft calendering the sheet (Col. 3, In. 21).

- 7. A telephone call was made to Michael Girard on 13 April 2009 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.
- 8. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.
- 9. The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.
- 10. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Application/Control Number: 10/593,671

an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

Art Unit: 1794

11. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims.

Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder.

All claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of

Page 4

12. In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Application/Control Number: 10/593,671 Page 5

Art Unit: 1794

Conclusion

13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to WALTER MOORE whose telephone number is (571)

270-7372. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 9:00-4:00.

14. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, David Sample can be reached on (571) 272-1376. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

15. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/David R. Sample/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1794

/WM/ Walter Moore, Examiner AU 1794 4/13/2009